

## WSBIS Working Team Meeting 8/27/2015

Present: Roman, Bill B, Steve K, Paul E, Rich H and Heath

Phone: Larry and Margaret

Review minutes: No comments

### Data Migration:

- Bill migrations all seem doable and the plan is to handle these in overnight windows or on the weekends.
- 5 structures were loaded that are shared/interweaved between LP and BPO, and there didn't appear to be any issues
- Still need to do a WSBIS Field machine install at King County soon
  - Margaret's IT person has been out and may need to work with a backup that hasn't been involved from the beginning
- Workstation testing still needed
  - Important so we get different networking/setup experiences

### Synchronizer fixes:

- Several fixes have been implemented, and others will be implemented with an October 2015 deployment
  - Hardened synchronizer; especially around file uploads
  - Loosened up some restrictions/constraints
  - Fixed some stupid bugs that have been found since deployment of WSBIS
  - Steve K. recommends creating UCD's before going to the field

### Interweaved Inspections (shared use case):

- Document: "BPO Inspection for LA Bridge.docx"
  - Summary of the process to handle this use case
  - It is intended to be the same as it is currently
    - Heath observation (not expressed in the meeting) – is that currently the Locked UCD in WSBIS/BPO is reviewed by the BPO BIE (and data "copied" to LP), and the BPO BIE emails the LP BIE to let the LP BIE know the UCD is Locked and ready for review/release.
- Keep the LP view of the world (forms) the same for LA folks – Likely even for BPO folks
  - Group discussed the view to be responsibility specific...if it's an LP structure, then the LP view of the world...if a BPO structure, then a BPO view of the world
- Discussion about using the 'Group' (WSDOT, King County, etc.) to help determine the view, but it there seemed to be some conflicts with this when it comes to consultants.
- Decided structure specific view depending on the structure ownership

#### WSBIS/BW User Experience:

- Discussion about hiding/not hiding, functionality from BPO users for items like 'Export to Access' and 'Advanced Selection'
  - No need to hide this functionality
- Steve suggests one page "brochure" to show what the advanced selection does
  - King County minus City of Seattle for example
  - The advanced selection is an open-ended feature that can be applied in many different ways

#### Reports:

- Reports might need some specific changes
- ?? New report type feature from old Bridgeworks?? (Not sure what this note was in reference to)
- LP wants to remove all override dates from all inspection report types

#### Crossing Manager:

- In current LP BW, there is no crossing manager...does not exist
  - May need to back populate that data with the Program Manager

#### Table Waterway:

- Table waterway data will be displayed in a new Waterway tab

#### Alpha Span Type:

- Is a text field and will remain that way
- Larry says go with WSBIS/BPO reference # (the item numbers in the applications are different...BPO is 2537)

#### General Application notes:

- For LP, MSI will not include BPO images
  - If images .xml missing, fall back to solid color for login background
- LP wants to change some icons...like Jobs icon
  - Need to come to agreement on the icons so they are not different
- Question about structure coloring in the structure list and how they are going to be determined
  - LP has a different range of 30 and 45 days
  - LP doesn't want the sparkles that appear after 90 days
  - BPO has 30/60/90 break points
- Larry, Bill, and George need to come to agreement on date ranges and colors
  - Meet to decide, or come up with ideas to agree upon
- LP Coding guide destinations (anchors that allow the application to jump to a particular item in the coding guide)
  - Roman says it's not the end of the world if it doesn't happen

#### Load Rating:

- Group believes a new load rating table is the best approach
- Idea to tie load rating to a previous inspection (Control Data)/MCD
- Rich - What about a Load Rating Inspection Tab?
- Load Rating Tab – Load Rating Note (11)
  - Rich thinks that is replicated from the notes tab
- Decided we need a meeting related to Load Rating where George is present before moving forward.

Short discussion about structure migration and related workshops

There needs to be a small group meeting about load rating with George before the next Team meeting.

Next meeting: end of September...24<sup>th</sup> was agreed to work

Load Rating will be front and center on the next agenda